

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Wishington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/804,408	03/12/2001	Mathew F. Ogle	1416.20US01	1108		
75	90 10/02/2002					
Peter S. Dardi			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Patterson, Thue 4800 IDS Cente	nte, Skaar & Christensen, r	P.A.	NAFF, DA	NAFF, DAVID M		
80 South 8th Str Minneapolis, M			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
• ,			1651			
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002	. 9		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commence	09/800408	Ogle et		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	01	Group Art Unit	
	(/a)	10	1657	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	eneath the co	rrespondence add	dress
Period for Reply	5			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAILI	NG DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. 	within the statutory minimuripire SIX (6) MONTHS from	ım of thirty (30) o the mailing date	days will be considered of this communication	d timely.
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	, 02			
☐ This action is FINAL.				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (the merits is close	ed in
Disposition of Claims				
Claim(s) 1 - 28 + 3 7 - 3 7		is/are p	ending in the appli	cation.
Of the above claim(s)				
☐ Claim(s)		is/are a	llowed.	
17 Claim(s) 1-28 + 34-37		is/are r	eiected.	
Claim(s) (-26 + 3 + -37	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are o	biected to.	
□ Claim(s)				r election
		require		
Application Papers	Deview DTO 040			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	* *	□ dicempreved	1	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected		⊒ disapproved		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is also objected.	to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. & 11 9(a)-(d)		
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. 	priority documents ha	ve been		
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the Intern 			•	
		uie i 7.2(a)).		
*Certified copies not received:			•	
Attachment(s) Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	1 Filed	10/14/0	7	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) □ In	terview Summ	nary, PTO-413	
¹ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892			al Patent Application	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□0	ther		
Office A	action Summary			

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. _______

Application Number: 09/804,408 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

In a response of 7/15/02 to a restriction requirement of 6/17/02, applicants elected Group I claims 1-28 and 34-37, and canceled nonelected claim 29-33.

Claims examined on the merits are 1-28 and 34-37 which are all claims in the application.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-28 and 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first

paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The claims are confusing and unclear how the linkers and bridge

5 molecules differ chemically. Does being chemically different mean that
the linkers and bridge molecules have different structures or does it
mean that they differ chemically in some other way such as being
different in solubility.

Claims 34-37 are confusing and unclear as to the meaning and scope

20 of "modified tissue" and "modified sites". When a tissue is modified and
has modified sites would be relative and subjective.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application Number: 09/804,408 Page 3
Art Unit: 1651

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-28 and 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogle et al (5,958,669) in view of Yang et al (5,935,168).

10

The claims are drawn to tissue containing linkers bonded to tissue and bridge molecules bonded between two or more of the linkers, and to methods of preparing the tissue.

Ogle et al disclose crosslinking tissue to fix tissue by reacting the tissue with glutaraldehyde.

Yang et al disclose crosslinking tissue with glutaraldehyde and then 20 reacting with a diamine (claims 8-10).

After reacting with glutaraldehyde as disclosed by Ogle et al, it would have been obvious to react with a diamine as suggested by Yang et al. Bridges as required by the present claims would inherently result.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications

25 from the examiner should be directed to David M. Naff whose telephone

number is (703) 308-0520. The examiner can normally be reached on

Monday-Thursday and every other Friday from about 8:30 AM to about 6:00

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, a 30 message can be left on voice mail. Application Number: 09/804,408

Art Unit: 1651

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn, can be reached at telephone number (703) 308-4743.

The fax phone number is (703) 872-9306 before final rejection or (703) 872-9307 after final rejection.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

10

DAVID M. NAFF PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 12857

DMN 10/1/02